UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,315	09/06/2000	KIL-HO SHIN	107215	9436

25944 7590 09/27/2005		EXAMINER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC		LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. BOX 19928
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2131	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/656,315	SHIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christian La Forgia	2131	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 August 2005</u>.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL.**   2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-51* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-51* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1.   A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in

37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is

eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e)

has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to

37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 29 August 2005 has been entered.

2.   Claims 1-51 have been presented for examination.

3.   Claim 52 has been cancelled as per Applicant's request.

Response to Arguments

4.   Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-51 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5.   See further rejections that follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in
> section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are
> such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person
> having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the
> manner in which the invention was made.

7.   Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No.

5,987,134 to Shin et al., hereinafter Shin, in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,297,278 to Wang,

hereinafter Wang.

8.   The applied reference has a common inventor and assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only

under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a

showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was

derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a

showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which

corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective

U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR

1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that

the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a

terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome

by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

9.   As per claims 1 and 47, Shin discloses a data storage device provided with a function for

authenticating a user's access right, which verifies legitimacy of proof data generated for proving

a right of an application program to access data stored in a storage medium, to thereby

authenticate the access right of a user of the application program to the data, the data storage

device comprising:

first storage means for storing authentication data (column 2, lines 37-55, i.e. "To achieve

the objects and in accordance with the purpose of the invention, as embodied and broadly

described herein, one aspect of a device for authenticating user's access rights to resources of the

present invention comprises first memory means for storing challenging data");

second storage means for storing user unique identifying information of the user of the

application program (column 2, lines 42-55, i.e. "second memory means for storing unique

identifying information of the user");

third storage means for storing auxiliary proof information being a result in which a

specific calculation is executed to the user unique identifying information of the application

program and unique security characteristic information (column 2, lines 43-55, i.e. "third

memory means for storing proof support information which is a result of executing

predetermined computations to the user unique identifying information and unique security

characteristic information of the device");

proof data generation means for executing a specific calculation to the authentication data

stored in the first storage means, the user unique identifying information of the application

program stored in the second storage means, and the auxiliary proof information stored in the

third storage means, to thereby generate proof data (column 2, lines 47-55, i.e. "response

generation means for generating a response from the challenging data stored in the first memory

means, the unique identifying information stored in the second memory means and the proof

support information stored in the third memory means, and verification means for verifying the

legitimacy of the response by verifying that the response, the challenging data and the unique

security characteristic information of the device satisfy a specific predefined relation");

a data storage main frame provided with a storage medium, which stores and preserves

data in the storage medium (column 1, lines 37-43, column 5, line 65 to column 6, line 4, i.e.

"The user mounts personal computer/workstation using a designated method. When the user

starts up the application program and when the execution of the program reaches the user

authentication routine, the program communicates with the hardware in which the authentication

key of the user is embedded." Shin discloses an application program, which must be stored on

some type of storage medium in order to execute.);

command generation means installed in the application program, for generating a

command that instructs an operation to the data stored in the storage medium of the data storage

main frame (column 6, lines 5-15; i.e. "the verification routine 15 is set to the application

program");

command issuing means installed in the application program, for issuing the command

generated by the command generation means to the outside of the application program (column

6, lines 5-15, i.e. "The verification routine 15 is same as that of the conventional technologies in

that it communicates with the response generation program 17 retained by the user");

proof data verification means for verifying that the proof data generated by the proof data

generation means has been generated on the basis of the unique security characteristic

information (column 6, lines 16-28, i.e. "Data to be transferred (challenging data 18) and

expected returned data (expected value) are embedded in the verification routine 15. The

verification routine 15 fetches the data to be transferred and transfers it to the user, and receives

the returned data from the user. Then the verification routine 15 compares the returned data

from the user with the expected value: if they are identical with each other, the verification

routine 15 executes the next step of the program; if they are not identical, the verification routine

15 halts the execution of the program"); and

command management means for permitting to execute the command only when the

verification is successful, as to at least one type of the command that instructs the operation to

the data stored in the data storage main frame (column 6, lines 16-28, i.e. "Data to be transferred (challenging data 18) and expected returned data (expected value) are embedded in the verification routine 15. The verification routine 15 fetches the data to be transferred and transfers it to the user, and receives the returned data from the user. Then the verification routine 15 compares the returned data from the user with the expected value: if they are identical with each other, the verification routine 15 executes the next step of the program; if they are not identical, the verification routine 15 halts the execution of the program").

10.   Shin does not disclose wherein the command is erasing the data stored within the storage medium and, in spite of the command the data stored within the storage medium is preserved.

11.   Wang teaches wherein the command is erasing the data stored within the storage medium and, in spite of the command the data stored within the storage medium is preserved (Figure 3 [blocks 52, 66], column 4, line 19 to column 5, line 27).

12.   Both Shin and Wang are related in the fields of controlling access to files on a computer system.

13.   It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to issue an erase command and preserve the data, since Wang states at column 1, lines 8-15 that such a modification maintain the data integrity within data processing systems.

14.   Regarding claims 2, 6, 22, 25, 30, 34, and 37, Shin discloses wherein at least the second storage means and the proof data generation means are retained in protection means for making it difficult to observe the inner data and processing procedures from the outside (column 3, lines 9-15, claim 2).

15. Regarding claims 3 and 7, Shin discloses wherein at least the second storage means and

the proof data generation means are configured in a small portable processor (column 3, lines 9-

15, claim 3).

16. Regarding claim 4, Shin discloses wherein the proof data generation means includes first

calculation means and second calculation means, in which the first calculation means executes a

specific calculation to the user unique identifying information of the application program stored

in the second storage means and the auxiliary proof information stored in the third storage means

to produce the unique security characteristic information as a result of the calculation, and the

second calculation means executes a specific calculation to the authentication data stored in the

first storage means and the unique security characteristic information calculated by the first

calculation means to generate the proof data as a result of the calculation (column 3, lines 16-28;

claim 4).

17. Regarding claim 5, Shin discloses wherein the proof data generation means includes third

calculation means, fourth calculation means, and fifth calculation means, in which the third

calculation means executes a. specific calculation to the authentication data stored in the first

storage means and the auxiliary proof information stored in the third storage means, the fourth

calculation means executes a specific calculation to the authentication data stored in the first

storage means and the user unique identifying information of the application program stored in

the second storage means, and the fifth calculation means executes a specific calculation to a

calculation result by the third calculation means and a calculation result by the fourth calculation

means, to generate the proof data as a result of the calculation (column 3, lines 29-47; claim 5).

18.   Regarding claim 8, Shin discloses wherein the unique security characteristic information

is a decryption key in an encryption function, the authentication data is appropriate data

encrypted by using an encryption key corresponding to the decryption key, and the proof data

verification means verifies that the proof data generated by the proof data generation means is

identical to the correct decryption of the authentication data (claim 8).

19.   Regarding claim 9, Shin discloses wherein the unique security characteristic information

is an encryption key in an encryption function, and the proof data generated by the proof data

generation means is verified to be the authentication data correctly encrypted by using the

encryption key (claim 9).

20.   Regarding claim 10, Shin discloses wherein the unique security characteristic information

is a signature key in a digital signature function, and the proof data generated by the proof data

generation means is verified to be a digital signature to the authentication data generated by

using the signature key (claim 10).

21.   With regards to claims 11 and 39, Shin teaches wherein the encryption function is an

asymmetric encryption function, and the unique security characteristic information is a key on

one side (claims 11 and 12).

22.   Concerning claims 12 and 40, Shin teaches wherein the encryption function is a public

key encryption function, and the unique security characteristic information is a private key

(claims 13 and 14).

23.   With regards to claims 13 and 41, Shin discloses wherein the encryption function is a

symmetric encryption function, and the unique security characteristic information is a common

secret key (claims 15 and 16).

24.   Regarding claim 14, Shin discloses wherein the proof data verification device writes the

authentication data stored in the fourth storage means into the first storage means of the proof

data generation device, the proof data generation device writes the proof data generated on the

basis of the authentication data written into the first storage means by the proof data generation

means into the fifth storage means of the proof data verification device, and the proof data

verification device authenticates the user's access right by using the proof data written into the

fifth storage means (claim 17).

25.   With regards to claim 15, Shin teaches wherein the unique security characteristic

information is an encryption key in an encryption function, the proof data verification device

includes random number generation means, the random number generation means writes a

random generated number into the fourth storage means as the authentication data, and the proof

data verification means verifies the proof data written into the fifth storage means by the proof

data generation device to be the encryption of the random number being the authentication data

using encryption key being the unique security characteristic information (claim 18).

26.   With regards to claim 16, Shin discloses wherein the unique security characteristic

information is a decryption key in an encryption function, the proof data verification device

includes random number generation means, sixth storage means for storing a generated random

number, and seventh storage means for storing a seed for authentication data, the random number

generation means writes a generated random number into the sixth storage means, randomizes

the seed for authentication data stored in the seventh storage means by using the random number,

and thereafter writes the result of the randomization as the authentication data into the fourth

storage means, and the proof data verification means verifies the result with the random number

effect by the random number stored in the sixth storage means removed from the proof data

written into the fifth storage means to be identical to the decryption of the seed for authentication

data stored in the seventh storage means by the decryption key being the unique security

characteristic information (claim 19).

27.   With regards to claim 17, Shin teaches wherein the unique security characteristic

information is a signature key in a digital signature function, the proof data verification device

includes random number generation means, the random number generation means writes a

generated random number into the fourth storage means as the authentication data, and the proof

data verification means verifies the proof data written into the fifth storage means by the proof

data generation device to be a digital signature to the authentication data being the random

number by the signature key being the unique security characteristic information (claim 20).

28.   Concerning claim 18, Shin teaches wherein the encryption function is of the RSA public

key crypto-system using a modulus n, the unique security characteristic information is a private

key D, a public key corresponding to the private key D is E, and the proof data verification

means verifies E power of proof data R written into the fifth storage means to be congruent with

an authentication data C stored in the fourth storage means, modulo n (R^E mod n = C mod n)

(column 8, line 3 to column 10, line 35, claim 21).

29.   Concerning claim 19, Shin discloses wherein the encryption function is of the RSA

public key crypto-system using a modulus n, the unique security characteristic information is a

private key D, a public key corresponding to the private key D is E, the seed for authentication

data stored in the seventh storage means is a number K' being E power of a data K modulo n (K'

= K^E mod n), the random number generation means writes a number C being E power of a

random number r modulo n multiplied by the number K' modulo n (C = r^EK' mod n) into the

fourth storage means as the authentication data, and the proof data verification means verifies a

reverse modulo n of the random number r stored in the sixth storage means multiplied by proof

data R written into the fifth storage means to be congruent with the data K modulo n (K mod n =

r^{-1}R mod n) (column 10, line 38 to column 14, lien 18; claim 22).

30.   Concerning claim 20, Shin discloses wherein the encryption function is of the RSA

public key crypto-system using a modulus n., the unique security characteristic information is the

private key D, the public key corresponding to the private key D is E, auxiliary proof information

t stored in the third storage means is data obtained by subtracting user unique identifying

information e of the application program stored in the second storage means from the private key

D, and adding a product of a value of a non-collision function ω (= G(n, e)) dependent on the

modulus n and the user unique identifying information e, and an Eulerian number $\Phi(n)(t = D - e$

$+ \omega\Phi(n))$, and the proof data generation means generates the proof data by calculating D power

of C modulo n (C^D mod n), from the t, the e, and the authentication data C stored in the first

storage means (column 14, line 22 to column 15, line 52, claims 23 and 24).

31.   Concerning claim 21, Shin teaches wherein the proof data generation means includes

third calculation means, fourth calculation means, and fifth calculation means, the third

calculation means calculates the t power of the C modulo n (C^t mod n), the fourth calculation

means calculates the a power of the C modulo n (C^e mod n), and the fifth calculation means

multiplies a result of the calculation by the first calculation means by that of the calculation by

the second calculation means modulo n to thereby generate the proof data R ($=C^tC^e$ mod n)

(claims 25 and 26).

32.   Concerning claim 23, Shin discloses wherein the encryption function is of the RSA

public key crypto-system using a modulus n., the unique security characteristic information is the

private key D, the public key corresponding to the private key D is E, auxiliary proof information

t stored in the third storage means is data obtained by adding to the D a value of a non-collision

function $F(n, e)$ which is dependent on the modulus n and user unique identifying information a

of the application program stored in the second storage means ($t = D + F(n, e)$), and the proof

data generation means generates the proof data by calculating D power of C modulo n (C^D mod

n), from the t, the e, and the authentication data C stored in the first storage means (claims 29 and

30).

33.   Concerning claims 24 and 29, Shin discloses wherein the proof data generation means

includes third calculation means, fourth calculation means, and fifth calculation means, the third

calculation means calculates the t power of the C modulo n (C^t mod n), the fourth calculation

means calculates the $F(n, e)$ power of the C modulo n ($C^{F(n,e)}$ mod n), and the fifth calculation

means multiplies a result of the calculation by the third calculation means by the reverse of a

calculation result by the fourth calculation means modulo n to thereby generate the proof data R

($=C^t C^{F(n,e)}$ mod n) (claims 31 and 32).

34.   Concerning claim 26, Shin discloses wherein the encryption function is of the Pohlig-

Hellman asymmetric crypto-system using a modulus p, the unique security characteristic

information is a key D on one side, a key on the other side corresponding to the key D is E (DE

mod p-1 = 1), and the proof data verification means verifies E power of proof data R written into

the fifth storage means to be congruent with authentication data C stored in the fourth storage

means, modulo p (R^E mod p = C mod p) (column 18, line 13 to column 19, line 67, claim 35).

35.   Concerning claim 27, Shin teaches wherein the encryption function is of the Pohlig-

Hellman asymmetric crypto-system using a modulus p, the unique security characteristic

information is a key D on one side, a key on the other side corresponding to the key D is E (DE

mod p-1 = 1), the seed for authentication data stored in the seventh storage means is a number K'

being E power of a data K modulo p ($K' = K^E$ mod p), the random number generation means

writes a number C that is identical to E power of a random number r modulo p multiplied by the

number K' modulo p ($C = r^E K'$ mod p) into the fourth storage means as the authentication data,

and the proof data verification means verifies a reverse modulo p of the random number r stored

in the sixth storage means multiplied by the proof data R written into the fifth storage means to

be congruent with the data K modulo p(K mod p = $r^{-1}R$ mod p) (column 18, line 13 to column

19, line 67, claim 36).

36.   Concerning claim 28, Shin discloses wherein the encryption function is of the Pohlig-

Hellman asymmetric crypto-system using a modulus p, the unique security characteristic

information is a key D on one side, a key on the other side corresponding to the key D is E (DE

mod p-1 = 1), auxiliary proof information t stored in the third storage means is data obtained by

adding to the D a value of a non-collision function F(p, e) which is dependent on the modulus p

and user unique identifying information a of the application program stored in the second storage

means (t = D + F(p, e)), and the proof data generation means generates the proof data by

calculating D power of C modulo p (C^D mod p), from the t, the e, and the authentication data C

stored in the first storage means (column 18, line 13 to column 19, line 67, claims 37 and 38).

37.   Concerning claim 31, Shin discloses wherein the encryption function is of the ElGamal

public key crypto-system using a modulus p of the ElGamal public key crypto-system using a

modulus p and a generator a, the unique security characteristic information is a private key X, a

public key corresponding to the key X is Y ($Y = a^x$ mod p), a is a number that the a is

exponentiated by an appropriate random number z as an exponent modulo p ($u = a^z$ mod p), and

K' is a product of data K and the Y exponentiated by the random number z modulo p ($K' = Y^z K$

mod p), a combination of the a and the K' is stored in the seventh storage means as the seed for

authentication data, the random number generation means writes the « and a number C that

results from a random number r multiplied by the number K' modulo p ($C = rK'$ mod p) into the

fourth storage means as the authentication data, and the proof data verification means verifies a

reverse modulo p of the random number r stored in the sixth storage means multiplied by proof

data R written into the fifth storage means to be congruent with the data K modulo p (K mod $p =$

$r^{-1}R$ mod p) (column 20, line 2 to column 22, line 17, claim 43).

38.   Concerning claim 32, Shin discloses wherein, when the encryption function is of the

ElGamal public key crypto-system using a modulus p and a generator a, the unique security

characteristic information is a key X on one side, a public key corresponding to the key X is Y

($Y = a^x$ mod p), auxiliary proof information t stored in the third storage means is data obtained

by adding to the X a value of a non-collision function F(p,e) which is dependent on the modulus

p and user unique identifying information a of the application program stored in the second

storage means ($t = X + F(p, e)$), and the proof data generation means generates the proof data by

calculating C divided by X power of the a modulo p (Cu^{-X} mod p), from the t, the e, and the

authentication data a and C stored in the first storage means (column 20, line 2 to column 22, line 17, claim 44).

39. Concerning claim 33, Shin discloses wherein the proof data generation means includes third calculation means, fourth calculation means, and fifth calculation means, the third calculation means calculates the t power of the a modulo p (u^t mod p), the fourth calculation means calculates the F(p,e) power of the a modulo p ($u^{F(p,e)}$ mod p), and the fifth calculation means divides the C by a calculation result of the third calculation means modulo p and multiplies a calculation result of the fourth calculation means to thereby generate the proof data R ($=Cu^{-t} u^{F(p,e)}$ mod p) (claim 45).

40. Concerning claim 35, Shin discloses wherein the digital signature function is of the ElGamal signature scheme using the modulus p and a generator a, the unique security characteristic information is a signature key X, a public key corresponding to the key X is Y (Y $= a^x$ mod p), and the proof data verification means verifies, in regard to a proof data R and S, a value being the a exponentiated by authentication data C as an exponent stored in the fourth storage means, modulo p to be congruent with a product of the R power of the Y and the S power of the R, modulo p (a^c mod p $= Y^R R^S$ mod p) (claim 47).

41. Concerning claim 36, Shin discloses wherein the digital signature function is the ElGamal signature under the modulus p and a generator a, the unique security characteristic information is the signature key X, the public key corresponding to the key X is Y (Y $= a^x$ mod

p), auxiliary proof information t stored in the third storage means is data obtained by adding to

the X a value of a non-collision function F(p,e) which is dependent on the modulus p and a user

unique identifying information a of the application program stored in the second storage means (t

$= X + F(p,e)$), and the proof data generation means generates an appropriate random number k in

generating the proof data R and S, adopts the k power of the a modulo p as the R ($= a^k$ mod p),

subtracts a product of the X and the R from the C modulo p-1 and multiplies the calculation

result with a reverse of the k, from the t, the e, and the authentication data C written into the first

storage means, and thereby calculates the S ($= (C-RX)k^{-1}$ mod p-1) (claim 48).

42.   With regards to claim 38, Shin discloses wherein the user unique identifying information

of the application program is a decryption key of an encryption function, the auxiliary proof

information is the unique security characteristic information encrypted by an encryption key

corresponding to the decryption key, and the first calculation means decrypts the auxiliary proof

information by using the decryption key being the user unique identifying information of the

application program to thereby calculate the unique security characteristic information (claim

50).

43.   With regards to claim 42, Shin discloses wherein the proof data verification means

includes eighth storage means for storing clear text data corresponding to the authentication data

or the seed for authentication data being encrypted data and comparison means, and the

comparison means compares the proof data generated by the proof data generation means or a

result having the random number effect removed from the proof data with the clear text data

stored in the eighth storage means, and only when both are identical, judges the proof data to be

legitimate (claims 54 and 55).

44.   With regards to claim 43, Shin discloses wherein the proof data verification means

includes ninth storage means for storing a result having a specific one-way function applied to

clear text data corresponding to the authentication data or the seed for authentication data being

encrypted data, sixth calculation means, and comparison means, the sixth calculation means

applies the one-way function to the proof data generated by the proof data generation means after

derandomizing if necessary, and the comparison means compares a calculation result by the sixth

calculation means with data stored in the ninth storage means, and only when both are identical,

judges the proof data to be legitimate (claims 56 and 57).

45.   With regards to claim 44, Shin discloses wherein the proof data verification means

includes program execution means, the authentication data or the seed for authentication data is

data obtained by encrypting a program, the proof data verification means passes, after

derandomizing if necessary, the proof data generated by the proof data generation means to the

program execution means as a program, whereby the program execution means executes a

correct operation, when the proof data generation means correctly decrypts the authentication

data or the seed for authentication data being an encrypted program, namely, only when the

encrypted program is correctly decrypted (claim 58).

46.   With regards to claim 45, Shin discloses wherein the proof data verification means

includes program execution means, program storage means, and program decryption means, a

program stored in the program storage means is encrypted to a part or whole thereof, the

authentication data or the seed for authentication data is data obtained by separately encrypting a

decryption key for decrypting the encrypted program, the proof data verification means passes

the proof data generated by the proof data generation means to the program decryption means,

the program decryption means uses, after derandomizing if necessary, the proof data generated

by the proof data generation means as a decryption key to thereby decrypt a necessary part of the

program stored in the program storage means, the program execution means executes the

decrypted program, whereby, when the proof data generation means correctly decrypts the

authentication data or the seed for authentication data, namely, only when the decryption key for

decrypting the encrypted program is correctly decrypted, the program execution means executes

a correct operation (claim 59).

47.   Concerning claim 46, Shin teaches wherein the proof data generation device and the

proof data verification device are installed in one enclosure, and the proof data generation device

and the proof data verification device communicate with each other without using a

communication medium outside the enclosure (claim 62).

48.   Regarding claim 48, Shin discloses wherein the storage medium of the data storage

device is a write once optical storage medium (column 9, lines 29-38).

49.   With regards to claim 49, Shin teaches wherein the write once optical storage medium of

the data storage device is a phase change type optical storage medium (column 9, lines 29-38).

50.   With regards to claim 50, Shin discloses wherein the write once optical storage medium

of the data storage device is a phase separation type optical storage medium (column 9, lines 29-

38).

51.   Regarding claim 51, Shin discloses wherein the storage medium that first stores at least a

specific access log, of the storage medium of the data storage device, is a write once optical

storage medium (column 9, lines 29-38).

Conclusion

52.   The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

53.   The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to

controlling user access, such as:

United States Patent No. 5,144,556 to Wang et al., which is cited to show retaining access

to deleted documents in a data processing system.

54.   Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.

55.   If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

56.   Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian LaForgia
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2131

clf